

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/618,394	07/11/2003		Robert Bening	W-0006 5601			
30522	7590	05/26/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		RS U.S. LLC INOLOGY CENTI	ASINOVS	ASINOVSKY, OLGA			
3333 HIGHWAY 6 SOUTH				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
HOUSTON,	TX 7708	32		1711	 		

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/618,394	BENING ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
Ť	Olga Asinovsky	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 19 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		·				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	n the same day as filing a Notice o wing replies: (1) an amendment, a ptice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	f Appeal. To avoid at ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of	·	- 6	- to later the			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the			er is later. In no			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	•	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a						
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	as set forth in (b) ay reduce any			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or			the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>see attachment</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4)		jected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	7 7 7	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant, anonamon	. (1 1 0 2 0 2 1).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will will will wi	vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18 and 22-34</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ails to provide a (1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	·	•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:			
12 Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO 1//40) Popor	No(e)				

13. Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 10/618,394 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

Attachment

The amendment that "the weight percentage of the polymer so formed having n=5+ is less than about 8 percent will require a new search. The "n" can be 3, since it is depending on the reactive site of the coupling agent. There is no benefit for the limitation of n number in the (A-B)nX radial block copolymer in the present claims. The diethyl adipate is a coupling agent in Schade that could be the same that in the present claims. A metal alkyl compound in Schade is working as a chain terminator instead of a coupling procedure, col. 4, lines 1-4 and 32-34 and column 2, lines 21-22 and 59-60. Thus, the steps of adding additional terminator as a coupling agent would not effect on a method for preparing a radial styrenic block copolymer in the present claims. The order of adding the terminator will not change the molecular weight of the obtained radial copolymer. In Dillman invention "n" can be 3, or the "n" value can be higher for making a multiblock copolymer, col. 3, line 63-64. The metal alkyl compound is working as retarder and promotes decoupling effect. Thus, the order of adding a coupling agent will not control the number of arms.

James J. Seidleck
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700